

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

The Office Action of January 12, 2007 suggests that the application contains claims directed towards patentably distinct inventions. In particular, the Office Action suggests the following Inventions:

- I. Claims 1-11
- II. Claims 12-16
- III. Claims 17-25

The Office Action requires election of a single invention from the above listed sets of suggested subcombinations of inventions.

In response to the aforementioned election requirement, the Applicant elects the suggested invention of **subcombination I** (Claims 1-11) for prosecution at this. The claims of subcombinations II and III are withdrawn by way of the present response.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that this case is now in condition for further examination. Additionally, in an effort to further the prosecution of the subject application, the Applicant kindly invites the Examiner to telephone the Applicant's attorney at (805) 278-8855 if the Examiner has any questions or concerns.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark A. Watson
Registration No. 41,370
Attorney for Applicants

Lyon & Harr
300 Esplanade Drive, Suite 800
Oxnard, California 93036
(805) 278-8855